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#### Abstract

In "Branes, Bundles and Attractors: Bogomolov and Beyond", by Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau, the authors state the following conjecture: Consider a simply connected surface $X$ with ample or trivial canonical line bundle. Then, the Chern classes of any stable vector bundle with rank $r \geq 2$ satisfy $2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{2}}{12} c_{2}(X) \geq 0$. The goal of this short note is to provide two sources of counterexamples to this strong version of the Bogomolov inequality. (C) 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

In [2] Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau state several conjectures arising from the attractor mechanism in type II string theory concerning possible Chern classes of stable vector bundles on algebraic varieties. In particular, the paper contains the following conjecture which is a slight strengthening of the Bogomolov inequality.

Conjecture 1.1. Consider a simply connected surface $X$ with ample or trivial canonical bundle and let $H$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. Then, the Chern classes of any $\mu_{H}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r \geq 2$ satisfy

$$
2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{2}}{12} c_{2}(X) \geq 0
$$

On the basis of physical evidence, this conjecture was first stated for Kähler manifolds of dimension $n$ in a preliminary version of Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau's paper. In [5] Jardim provides examples that show that the conjecture does not hold for stable vector bundles on Calabi-Yau threefolds. In a revised version of the paper of Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau, the original conjecture was replaced by the above statement concerning the Chern classes of stable vector bundles on simply connected surfaces with ample or trivial canonical bundle. The goal of this

[^0]paper is to prove that the reformulated version is also false. We will provide two kinds of examples. The first one (see Proposition 2.1) concerns rank $r \geq 2$ vector bundles on a generic $K 3$ surface $X$ (i.e. on a generic algebraic surface $X$ with $q(X)=0$ and trivial canonical line bundle). The second one (see Proposition 3.2) is devoted to rank $r \geq 3$ vector bundles on a surface $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d \geq 7$ (and hence its canonical line bundle is ample).
Terminology: Let $H$ be an ample line bundle on a smooth projective algebraic surface $X$. For a torsion free sheaf $F$ on $X$ we set
$$
\mu(F)=\mu_{H}(F):=\frac{c_{1}(F) H}{r k(F)} .
$$

The sheaf $F$ is said to be $\mu_{H}$-semistable if

$$
\mu_{H}(E) \leq \mu_{H}(F)
$$

for all non-zero subsheaves $E \subset F$ with $r k(E)<r k(F)$; if strict inequality holds then $F$ is $\mu_{H}$-stable. Notice that for rank $r$ vector bundles $F$ on $X$ with $\left(c_{1}(F) H, r\right)=1$, the concepts of $\mu_{H}$-stability and $\mu_{H}$-semistability coincide.

Recall that for any rank $r$ vector bundle $F$ on a cyclic variety $X$ with $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ generated by $h$, there is a uniquely determined integer $k_{F}$ such that if $c_{1}\left(F\left(k_{F} h\right)\right)=c_{1} h$, then $-r+1 \leq c_{1} \leq 0$. We set $F_{\text {norm }}=F\left(k_{F} h\right)$.

## 2. First example

The goal of this section is to see that Conjecture 1.1 fails for $\mu_{H}$-stable rank 2 vector bundles on generic $K 3$ surfaces with trivial canonical line bundle. To this end, let $X$ be a complex algebraic $K 3$ surface, that is $X$ is a complete regular surface with trivial canonical line bundle and irregularity $q(X)=0$. According to [7], we will say that a vector bundle $E$ on $X$ is exceptional if

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(E, E)=0,
$$

i.e., $E$ is simple and rigid. Any coherent sheaf $F$ on $X$ has associated a Mukai vector

$$
v(F)=\left(r, c_{1}, \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}+r-c_{2}\right)
$$

where $r=\operatorname{rank}(F)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ denote the first and second Chern classes of $F$. A Mukai vector is called exceptional if according to the inner product defined in the Mukai lattice (see [8]) the following equality holds:

$$
v(F)^{2}=c_{1}^{2}-2 r\left(r-c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)=-2
$$

When $X$ is a $K 3$ surface, $c_{2}(X)=24$. Indeed we have

$$
2=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\frac{1}{12}\left(K_{X}^{2}+c_{2}(X)\right)=\frac{c_{2}(X)}{12} .
$$

So, in that case Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to saying that the Chern classes of any $\mu_{H}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r \geq 2$ satisfy

$$
2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}-2 r^{2} \geq 0
$$

Let us see that there exist infinitely many $\mu_{H}$-stable vectors on a generic $K 3$ surface whose Chern classes do not satisfy the inequality above. First of all notice that if $X$ is a generic $K 3$ surface then $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $X$ be a generic $K 3$ surface and let $H$ be an arbitrary ample line bundle on $X$. For any Mukai vector $v=\left(r, c_{1}, \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}+r-c_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(r, c_{1} H\right)=1$ and

$$
2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}=2 r^{2}-2
$$

there exists a $\mu_{H}$-stable rank $r$ vector bundle $E$ on $X$ with Mukai vector $v(E)=v$.

Proof. By [7], Theorem 2.1, for any Mukai exceptional vector $v=\left(r, c_{1}, \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}+r-c_{2}\right)$, there exists a $\mu_{H}$-semistable vector bundle $E$ on $X$ with $v(E)=v$. By assumption, $\left(r, c_{1} H\right)=1$, so the notions of $\mu_{H}$-semistability and $\mu_{H}$-stability coincide. Following the definition of Mukai exceptional vector, we get that for any of these vectors $v=\left(r, c_{1}, \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}+r-c_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(r, c_{1} H\right)=1$ and

$$
2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}=2 r^{2}-2
$$

there exists a $\mu_{H}$-stable rank $r$ vector bundle $E$ on $X$ with Mukai vector $v(E)=v$.
Therefore we have proved the existence of infinitely many $\mu_{H}$-stable vector bundles $E$ on a generic $K 3$ surface with Chern classes contradicting the inequality predicted in Conjecture 1.1.

## 3. Second example

The goal of this section is to see that Conjecture 1.1 fails for $\mu_{H}$-stable rank $r \geq 3$ vector bundles on degree $d \geq 7$ surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. As a main tool we will use the theory of monads introduced by Horrocks in [4] and developed by the authors in [1]. In order to do that, let $X$ be a surface of degree $d \geq 7$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and denote by $h$ the restriction to $X$ of the hyperplane section $H$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Recall that the Picard group of $X$ is generated by $h, K_{X}=(d-4) h$ is ample, $h^{2}=d$ and $K_{X}^{2}=(d-4)^{2} d$. In addition,

$$
P_{g}(X)=\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)}{6} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{g}(X)+1=\frac{1}{12}\left(K_{X}^{2}+c_{2}(X)\right)
$$

Lemma 3.1. For any integer $c \geq 2$, there exists a monad on $X$ of the following type:

$$
M_{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \longrightarrow 0
$$

whose cohomology sheaf $E=\operatorname{Ker}(\beta) / \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $X$.
Proof. Set $\mathbb{P}^{3}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]\right)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $X$ is the surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right)=x_{0}^{d}+x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}+x_{3}^{d}$. Consider the $(c+1) \times c, c \times c$, and $(c+1) \times(c+1)$ matrices given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & x_{0} & x_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & x_{0} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right) \\
A_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & x_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & x_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & x_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & x_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the map given by the matrix $B=\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$ and $\gamma$ is the map given by

$$
A=\binom{A_{3}}{-A_{1}} .
$$

It is not difficult to see that $\gamma$ degenerates in codimension 1 . Now consider a sufficiently general injection $\phi$ : $\mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c+1}$ and its composition with the map $\gamma$ defined in (3.1). If $\phi$ is general enough, $\gamma \phi$ degenerates in codimension 3. Hence, by [6], Proposition 4, we get a monad

$$
M_{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma \phi} \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \longrightarrow 0
$$

whose cohomology sheaf $E=\operatorname{Ker}(\beta) / \operatorname{Im}(\gamma \phi)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle.

For any integer $c \geq 2$, let

$$
M_{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be a monad given by Lemma 3.1. Denote by $T=\operatorname{Ker}(\beta)$ and by $K$ its dual. Since $T$ is a rank $c+1$ vector bundle on $X, K$ is also a rank $c+1$ vector bundle on $X$ and has Chern classes $c_{1}(K)=c h$ and $c_{2}(K)=\frac{c(c+1) d}{2}$. For any $c \geq 2$ and $d \geq 7$,

$$
2 c^{2}+c<\left(c^{2}+2 c+1\right) \frac{d^{2}-4 d+6}{12}
$$

and hence

$$
2 \operatorname{rk}(K) c_{2}(K)-(\operatorname{rk}(K)-1) c_{1}(K)^{2}<\frac{\operatorname{rk}(K)^{2}}{12} c_{2}(X) .
$$

Let us see that $K$ is stable. By applying Hoppe's criterion ([3], Lemma 2.6), it is enough to see that

$$
H^{0}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{q} K\right)_{\text {norm }}\right)=0, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r k(K)-1=c
$$

First of all let us consider the case $q=1$. Since $c_{1}(K)=c h,(K)_{\text {norm }}=K(t h)$ for some $t \leq-1$. Hence it is enough to see that $H^{0}(K(-h))=0$ which easily follows from the cohomological exact sequence associated with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now assume $2 \leq q \leq c$. Notice that for any $0 \leq t \leq c-2$,

$$
\mu_{h}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{t+2} K\right)((-t-1) h)\right)=(2+t) \mu_{h}(K)-(t+1) h^{2}=\frac{(c-t-1)}{c+1} d>0
$$

Hence $\left(\bigwedge^{t+2} K\right)_{\text {norm }}=\left(\bigwedge^{t+2} K\right)(j h)$ for some $j \leq-t-2$ and thus it is enough to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{t+2} K\right)((-t-2) h)\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove (3.3) by induction on $t$. Let us assume $t=0$. The display of the monad $M_{\bullet}$ gives us the following two short exact sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)^{c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0  \tag{3.4}\\
& 0 \longrightarrow E^{*} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c-1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where by Lemma 3.1, $E$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $X$. The second exterior power of the exact sequence (3.5) twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{X}(-2 h)$ gives us the following long exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{2}\left(E^{*}\right)(-2 h) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{2}(K)(-2 h) \longrightarrow K(-h)^{c-1} \longrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c-1}\right)(-2 h) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E$ is a rank 2 vector bundle,

$$
H^{0}\left(\bigwedge^{2}\left(E^{*}\right)(-2 h)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(c_{1}\left(E^{*}\right)-2 h\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(-h)\right)=0
$$

and for the case $q=1$ we have $H^{0}(K(-h))=0$. Thus, using the exact sequence (3.6) we deduce that $H^{0}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{2} K\right)\right.$ $(-2 h))=0$ which finishes the case $t=0$. For $t>0$, twisting by $\mathcal{O}_{X}((-2-t) h)$ the $(t+2)$-exterior power of the exact sequence (3.5), we get the long exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{2+t}(K)((-2-t) h) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{1+t}(K)((-1-t) h)^{c-1} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

By the inductive hypothesis $H^{0}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{t+1} K\right)((-t-1) h)\right)=0$. Therefore,

$$
H^{0}\left(\left(\bigwedge^{t+2} K\right)((-t-2) h)\right)=0
$$

which concludes the proof of the stability of $K$.
Putting this together we get the following result:
Proposition 3.2. Let $X$ be a smooth surface of degree $d \geq 7$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then, there exists a rank $r \geq 3$ vector bundle $F$ on $X$ with Chern classes $c_{1}(F)=c_{1}$ and $c_{2}(F)=c_{2}$ verifying

$$
2 r c_{2}-(r-1) c_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{2}}{12} c_{2}(X)<0
$$

Proof. Set $F$ to be equal to the vector bundle $K$ from above.
Notice that since any smooth surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d \geq 7$ is an algebraic surface with ample canonical line bundle, Proposition 3.2 provides us with an infinite family of examples contradicting Conjecture 1.1.

## 4. Final remark

Notice that the vector bundles $E$ given in Proposition 2.1 are points of a trivial moduli space, that is of a zerodimensional moduli space. On the other hand, vector bundles given in Proposition 3.2 are points of a nontrivial moduli space. Indeed, following the above notation let us prove that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, K) \neq 0$. Let us assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, K)=0$. Twisting by $K$ the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow K^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and taking cohomology we get

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(K^{*} \otimes K\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{2 c+1} \otimes K\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(h)^{c} \otimes K\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(K^{*} \otimes K\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

We know that $K$ is a stable vector bundle, and hence it is simple, i.e. $h^{0}\left(K \otimes K^{*}\right)=1$, and by assumption $0=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, K)=H^{1}\left(K \otimes K^{*}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 c+1) h^{0}(K)=1+c h^{0}(K(h)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the exact sequence (3.2) we deduce that $h^{0}(K)=2 c+1$ and $h^{0}(K(h))=7 c+4$ which contradicts (4.1). Therefore, $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, K) \neq 0$ and indeed the corresponding moduli space is nontrivial.

We want to point out that with Proposition 3.2 we not only provide counterexamples to the quoted conjecture of Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau but also provide counterexamples to a reformulated version of the Conjecture 1.1 stated recently by the same authors in the fourth version of [2].
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